

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,629	01/14/2002	Yuzuru Suzuki	SUM-02301	4803
26339 75	590 08/11/2005		EXAMINER	
PATENT GROUP			COMAS, YAHVEH	
CHOATE, HALL & STEWART LLP TWO INTERNATIONAL PLACE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA			2834	
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	10/046,629	SUZUKI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Yahveh Comas	2834	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statt Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fute, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	<u>May 2005</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	•	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers		·	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	ccepted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document c	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic iority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	l Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-18 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

The indicated allowability of claims 16-18 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered references to Kikuta et al in view of Tanaka et al. and Suzuki et al. Rejections based on the newly cited references follow.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the outer rotor type brushless DC motor must be shown or the feature canceled from the claims. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for

of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-18 are objected to because of the following informalities: The use of S and N pole instead of South and North Poles. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claims 5, 7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The combination of an outer rotor in with the limitation set by the independent claims such as the stator unit around the rotor unit let claims 5, 7 and 14 indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2834

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

 Claims 1-2, 4, 8-11, 13, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikuta et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,053,664 in view of Suzuki et al. JP 11146616 A in further view of Tanaka et al. JP Patent No. 02106152 A.

Kikuta discloses a motor comprising a rotor unit which is arranged within the motor and includes a single cylindrical field magnet fixed to holder means (12, 13) into which a rotating shaft (9) is press-fitted at a center thereof, said cylindrical field magnet (11) being magnetized such that South and North alternate with each other in a circumferential direction thereof, and a stator unit (15) is circumferentially arranged around said rotor unit and is formed by circumferentially stacking a large number of thin plates. The holder means (12, 13) are arranged at both ends of said field magnet and a sleeve (10) is secured on an inner periphery of the magnet (11). Kikuta discloses the claimed invention except for a stator unit comprising a plurality of stator yokes having a plurality of coil units, each being formed by winding wire on a bobbin and mounted on each of said yokes, and each of the South and North poles having a plurality of stages

Art Unit: 2834

formed in an axial direction and shifted from each other in the circumferential direction of said cylindrical magnet with a predetermined shift amount.

Regarding the coil unit, Suzuki discloses a stator unit comprising a plurality of stator yokes being formed by circumferentially staking a large number of thin pates each of which is constitutes a salient pole (23), and a plurality of coil units (12), each being formed by winding a magnet wire on a bobbin (19) and mounted on each of said stator yokes in order to provide a motor structure which can attain a light and long constitution effectively without using a lamination structure which laminates stators of the identical shape in an axial direction, in a cylindrical motor with a radial gap.

Regarding the rotor having each of the South and North poles having a plurality of stages formed in an axial direction Tanaka discloses the use of a rotor having each of the South and North poles having a plurality of stages formed in an axial direction by a predetermined interval in order to reduce vibration and noise.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kikuta's invention and provide a stator unit comprising a plurality of stator yokes having a plurality of coil units, each being formed by winding wire on a bobbin and mounted on each of said yokes, as disclosed by Suzuki and each of the S and N poles has a plurality of stages formed in an axial direction and shifted from each other in the circumferential direction of said field magnet with predetermined shift amount as disclose by Tanaka since this would have been desirable to provide a motor structure which can attain a light and long constitution effectively and reduce vibration and noise.

Art Unit: 2834

Regarding claims 2, 11, and 18, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to shift the respective stages within a range of 12° to 50°, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233*

3. Claim 3 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikuta et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,053,664 in view of Suzuki et al. JP 11146616 A, in view of Tanaka et al. JP Patent No. 02106152 A and in further view of Hoemann et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,034,642.

Kikuta as modify above, disclose the claimed invention except for the rotor position detection element is adjusted by ½ the shift amount of respective stages.

However, Hoemann disclose a rotor position detection element (17) is adjusted by ½ the shift amount of respective stages (25, 27 and figures 3-7) for the purpose of maintaining an optimum sensor position relative to the rotor field without requiring physical adjustment of the sensor.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kikuta's invention and provide a rotor position detection element adjusted by ½ the shift amount of respective stages as disclose by Hoemann since this would have been desirable to maintaining an optimum sensor position relative to the rotor field without requiring physical adjustment of the sensor.

4. Claim 5, 7, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikuta et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,053,664 in view of Suzuki et al. JP 11146616

Art Unit: 2834

A, in view of Tanaka et al. JP Patent No. 02106152 A and in further view of Carrier et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,717,268.

Kikuta, as modify above, disclose the claimed invention except for the DC motor is an outer rotor type brushless three phases DC motor having eight poles and six stator units.

However, Carrier disclose a DC brushless motor with a eight poles outer rotor (10) and a six poles stator unit, wherein the number of field magnets in arrangement (28) relative to the number of poles in the stator are chosen to achieve an acceptable balance between torque ripple and switching losses.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kikuta's invention and provide outer rotor type brushless three phases DC motor having eight poles and six stator units as disclose by Carrier since this would have been desirable to achieve an acceptable balance between torque ripple and switching losses.

5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikuta et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,053,664 in view of Suzuki et al. JP 11146616 A, in view of Tanaka et al. JP Patent No. 02106152 A in further view of Burgbacher et al. U.S. Patent No. 4,998,032.

Kikuta, as modify above, disclose the claimed invention except for the DC motor has an inner rotor with eight poles and six stator unit.

However, Burgbacher discloses a DC brushless motor with an eight poles inner rotor (200) and a six poles stator unit (311-316) since in a rotor (200) with a larger

Art Unit: 2834

number of poles (201), the cog height, which narrow the air gap and act like "magnetic cogs" exerting forces on the rotor that are utilized to even out the torque, can be reduce to 1/3 the height.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kikuta's invention and provide outer rotor type brushless three-phases DC motor having eight poles and six stator units as disclose by Burgbacher since this would have been desirable to reduce 1/3 of the cogs height which narrow the air gap and act like "magnetic cogs" exerting forces on the rotor that are utilized to even out the torque.

6. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikuta et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,053,664 in view of Suzuki et al. JP 11146616 A, in view of Tanaka et al. JP Patent No. 02106152 A in further view of Matsuchita et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,856,718.

Kikuta, as modify above, disclose the claimed invention except for a spring provided inside one of said holders.

However, Matsuchita discloses the use of a spring provided inside one of the holders in order to decrease the variation of the pressurization. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kikuta's invention and provide a spring provided inside one of said holders since this would have been desirable to decrease the variation of the pressurization as disclosed by Matsuchita.

Art Unit: 2834

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yahveh Comas whose telephone number is (571) 272-2020. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YC

DARREN SCHUBERG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800